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Abstract—in this paper we examine the interaction of stock markets of different countries by constructing networks that connect 32 selected stock market indices from different countries. In the network being constructed, the nodes are the stock market indices and the edges are connections between the indices. Each edge has an edge weight equal to the cross-correlation between the pair of connecting indices over a window of \( w \) days. We consider the period from 7 March 2005 to 23 April 2009, i.e., 1078 days with \( w < 1078 \). In this period, networks are constructed for all \( w \)-day windows at 1-day intervals. By examining the variation of the network parameters as time elapses, we show that the dynamics of network connectivity is related to the fluctuation of the stock markets. Specifically, a form of network synchronization is found to be correlated with the volatility of the stock markets. Our study thus reveals that the stock markets in different countries generally behave in a synchronous manner when the markets experience fluctuation.
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1. Introduction

The total domestic market capitalization of world equity markets has exceeded US$ 60 trillion in 2007 but dropped 46.5% in 2008 [1]. The phenomenon seemed to be global as the 2008 financial crisis swept almost every country [2]. It is thus clear that stock markets in different countries do not operate independently, and their interactions have a significant role to play in shaping the overall world stock market performance. The co-movement of world exchange indices has been studied since the 1970s [3]. Prior work uses variations of ARCH (autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity) models [4, 5, 6] to study the correlations between stock market indices. Directed acyclic graphs are used to represent the structure of interdependence in international stock markets [7]. It has been found that a relationship exists between the structure of international stock markets and the market volatility [4, 8]. However, in much of the previous research, only a small number of stock markets from developed countries have been studied, resulting in somewhat biased conclusions on relationships between individual markets’ volatility and their correlations to the peer markets. Also, the previous approaches have over-simplified the structure of international stock markets.

In this paper, we construct a network of stock markets of 32 member countries of the World Federation of Exchanges.\(^1\) The network nodes are the representative indices of the 32 stock markets.\(^2\) Our study considers the daily closing value of each index during the 1078 working day period from 7 March 2005 to 23 April 2009. In case a stock market is closed on a working day, the day’s closing value inherits from the last available working day. In this 1078-day period, the stock markets network is constructed for all \( w \)-day windows at 1-day intervals. In the network, each pair of nodes is connected by an edge, with weight equal to the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the two adjacent indices over a window of \( w \) days. It is obvious that the weight of edges evolve chronologically as the window slides in forward time. In this paper we will examine the network dynamics based on the variation of edge weights as time elapses. For each \( w \)-day window, we also calculate the properties of each stock market index, including its return, mean value and volatility in the window period. Our study focuses on investigating the relationships between network dynamics and financial properties of the indices under different choices of window size.

We begin with the network construction procedure in Section 2. Then, we examine the network properties and introduce the definition of network dynamics in Section 3. We will examine the dynamics of the stock market indices in Section 4 and show the relationship between network dynamics and stock markets’ financial dynamics in Section 5. Finally the discussion of our results will be presented in Section 6.

2. Network construction

In the 1078 working days from 7 March 2005 to 23 April 2009, the network is constructed for each of the \( w \)-day windows at 1-day intervals. Hence, the entire period is divided into \( M \) windows: \( W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_M \), where \( M = 1079 - w \). Let \( P_i(m) \) be the series of closing values of stock index \( i \) in the \( m \)th window. We consider the node of stock index \( i \) in the \( m \)th window. In the network construction procedure, each pair of network nodes is connected by an edge, with the edge weight equals to the Pearson’s correlation between the pair of adjacent indices [9]. Specifically, the edge weight \( \rho_{i,j}(m) \) between node \( P_i(m) \) and \( P_j(m) \) in the
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\(^1\)They include Brazil, Mexico, Argentina, the USA and Canada from the Americas; the Netherlands, Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, the UK, Ireland, Spain, Denmark, Sweden, Portugal, Italy, Switzerland and Norway from Europe; Australia, India (both National Stock Exchange of India and Bombay Stock Exchange), Hong Kong, Indonesia, Malaysia, New Zealand, Japan, South Korea, China, Singapore from Asia/Pacific Region; Egypt and Israel from Africa and Middle East.

\(^2\)They are Bovespa (Brazil), IPC (Mexico), MerVal (Argentina), S&P 500 (USA), S&P TSX Composite (Canada), AEX (Netherlands), ATX (Austria), BEL-20 (Belgium), CAC 40 (France), DAX (Germany), FTSE 100 (United Kingdom), ISEQ20 (Ireland), Madrid General (Spain), OMX Copenhagen 20 (Denmark), OMX Stockholm 30 (Sweden), PSI 20 (Portugal), S&P Mib (Italy), Swiss Market (Switzerland), Total Share (Norway), All Ordinaries (Australia), BSE 30 (India), Hang Seng (Hong Kong), Jakarta Composite (Indonesia), KLSE Composite (Malaysia), NZSE 50 (New Zealand), Nikkei 225 (Japan), S&P CNX NIFTY (India), Seoul Composite (South Korea), Shanghai Composite (China), Strait Times (Singapore), CASE 30 (Egypt) and TA-100 (Israel). All data are retrieved from Yahoo! Finance.
The edge weight distribution of the network constructed for the 20-day window of 17 Feb 2009 to 16 Mar 2009. \( \rho_{ij} \) is the weight of edge between each pair of network nodes and \( p(\rho) \) is the probability of an edge weight falling in a 0.1 interval. This edge weight distribution resembles a normal distribution with mean 0.69 and standard deviation 0.21.

Fig. 3 shows the node strengths of Hang Seng Index and Standard & Poor 500 (S&P500) Index versus time with window size equal to 20 days. Hang Seng Index is shown in red and S&P500 Index in green.

Fig. 4 shows the network synchronization versus time with window size of 20 days.

The node strength describes the connectivity of a node to its peer nodes. It reveals to what extent the financial system of a country is fused into the world financial system. The network synchronization, in a larger scale, describes how closely the financial systems of different countries are collaborating. Given the window size \( w \), we can study the network dynamics in terms of node strengths \( s_i(w) \) and network synchronization \( S_{NET}(w) \) in all the windows, with the lengths of the two series \( M = 1079 - w \).

### 4. Stock market properties and dynamics

By constructing the network of stock market indices for all the \( w \)-day windows, we can study how the individual stock markets interact. Here, we are interested to know whether the network
properties are related to any financial phenomena in the same windows. In particular, we calculate the return, average value and volatility of individual indices.

Let \( p_i(t) \) be the closing value of index \( i \) on day \( t \). The window return \( r_i(m) \) of index \( i \) in the \( m \)th \( w \)-day window \( W_M \), starting from \( t_m \) to \( t_{m+w-1} \), is given by

\[
r_i(m) = \frac{p_i(t_{m+w-1}) - p_i(t_m)}{p_i(t_m)} \times 100\%.
\]

Likewise, the average closing value \( \mu_i(m) \) of index \( i \) in the \( m \)th \( w \)-day window \( W_M \) is given by

\[
\mu_i(m) = \frac{\sum_{t=t_m}^{t_{m+w-1}} p_i(t)}{w}.
\]

In stock markets, the stock prices and market indices change whenever stocks are traded. Moreover, there are many factors which could influence stock prices and hence make the fluctuation irregular. Such fluctuation, usually known as volatility, can be measured by calculating the standard deviation of the stock price or market index over a period of time. Specifically, the volatility \( \sigma_i(m) \) of index \( i \) in the \( m \)th \( w \)-day window \( W_M \) is given by

\[
\sigma_i(m) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=t_m}^{t_{m+w-1}} (p_i(t) - \mu_i(m))^2}{w-1}}
\]

Here, we take the MSCI AC World Index (World Index) as the stock market index of the world financial system. Dynamics of the window return, average value and volatility of this World Index is an indication of how the world financial system behaves. Fig. 5 shows the return, average value and volatility of Hang Seng Index and World Index versus time, based on 20-day windows.

5. Application of networks: Connecting networks and stock markets

The key challenge for applying the study of complex networks in real-life applications is whether the properties found in networks have any corresponding physical meanings that would shed light on how the actual system behaves [10]. In this section we will explore the connection between the network dynamics (complex networks) and stock market dynamics (real systems).

First, we compare the network synchronization with dynamics of the World Index, which is used to characterize the world financial system. Specifically, given a \( w \)-day window, the correlations among series of network synchronization, index window return, average and volatility of length \( M = 1079 - w \) are calculated. Again we adopt the Pearson’s correlation. For example, the correlation coefficient \( \rho_{s,r} \) between network synchronization \( s \) and World Index return \( r \) is given by

\[
\rho_{s,r} = \frac{\langle sr \rangle - \langle s \rangle \langle r \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle s^2 \rangle - \langle s \rangle^2} \sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle - \langle r \rangle^2}}
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle \) denotes the expected value. We use different window sizes, i.e., \( w \) = 10, 20, 40, 60 and 120 days, to examine the correlation between the network and stock market dynamics in different time scales. The results of the calculation are shown in Table 1. We see from Table 1 that regardless of the choice of window size, the network synchronization and World Index volatility is strongly correlated with correlation coefficients around 0.6,
Table 1: Pearson’s correlation coefficients between each pair of dynamics of network synchronization $s$, World Index window return $r$, average $\mu$ and volatility $\sigma$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$w$</th>
<th>$\rho_{s,r}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{s,\mu}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{s,\sigma}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{r,\mu}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{r,\sigma}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\mu,\sigma}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Graphical representation of relationships among network synchronization $s$, World Index window return $r$, average $\mu$ and volatility $\sigma$, with window size $w = 20$ days.

The network synchronization is actually an assembly of all the individual node strengths as shown in equations (2) and (3). Thus, it is of interest to know whether the volatilities of the individual stock markets are also related to their node strengths in the stock markets network. A similar calculation discussed earlier in this section is adopted. This time the Pearson’s correlation coefficient of individual node strengths to each of the index’s window return, average and volatility are calculated. Table 2 summarizes the results of our calculation for all the 32 indices taking window size $w = 20$ days. It is found that most stock markets in developed countries have their node strengths correlated to indices’ volatility while for stock markets in developing countries, no definite conclusion can be drawn.

6. Conclusion

Networks have been constructed for 32 important stock markets based on connecting each pair of stock markets according to the correlation between their representative indices. We have studied the dynamics of the networks during the period from 7 March 2005 to 23 April 2009. In order to make the study useful for application, we have established the relationship between network dynamics and stock markets dynamics. By comparing the network synchronization and node strength to the indices’ window return, average and volatility, we discover that the network synchronization and most of the node strength dynamics are strongly correlated to the volatility of stock markets, with the exception of stock markets from developing countries. Thus, we may conclude that individual markets generally react in a synchronous fashion when the markets experience fluctuation.
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