

Shibiao Wan, Man-Wai Mak

Machine Learning for Protein Subcellular Localization Prediction





DE GRUYTER



Authors

Dr. Shibiao Wan
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Department of Electronic and Information Engineering
Hung Hom, Kowloon
Hong Kong SAR
shibiao.wan@connect.polyu.hk

Dr. Man-Wai Mak
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Department of Electronic and Information Engineering
Hung Hom, Kowloon
Hong Kong SAR
enmwmak@polyu.edu.hk





ISBN 978-1-5015-1048-9 e-ISBN (PDF) 978-1-5015-0150-0 e-ISBN (EPUB) 978-1-5015-0152-4 Set-ISBN (print/ebook) 978-1-5015-0151-7

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalog record for this book has been applied for at the Library of Congress.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://www.dnb.de.

© 2015 Walter de Gruyter Inc., Boston/Berlin
Typesetting: PTP-Berlin, Protago T_EX-Production GmbH
Printing and binding: CPI books GmbH, Leck
Cover image: THOMAS DEERINCK, NCMIR/Science Photo Library/gettyimages

Printed on acid-free paper
Printed in Germany

www.degruyter.com



Preface

Proteins, which are essential macromolecules for organisms, need to be located in appropriate physiological contexts within a cell to exhibit tremendous diversity of biological functions. Aberrant protein subcellular localization may lead to a broad range of diseases. Knowing where a protein resides within a cell can give insights into drug target discovery and drug design. This book explores machine-learning approaches to the automatic prediction of protein subcellular localization. The approaches exploit the gene ontology database to extract relevant information. With the ever increasing numbers of new protein sequences in the postgenomic era, machine-learning approaches have become an indispensable tool for assisting the laborious and time-consuming web-lab experiments and for accurate, fast, and large-scale predictions in proteomics research.

Recent years have witnessed an incredibly fast development of molecular biology and computer science, which makes it possible to utilize computational methods to determine the subcellular locations of proteins. It is of paramount significance for wet-lab biologists, bioinformaticians, and computational biologists to be informed of the up-to-date development in this field. Compared to traditional books on protein subcellular localization, this book has the following advantages:

- 1. This book elaborately presents the latest state-of-the-art machine-learning approaches for protein subcellular localization prediction.
- This book comprehensively covers many aspects of protein subcellular localization, from single- to multi-label prediction, from prediction of *Homo sapiens* proteins, *Viridiplantae* proteins, *Eukaryota* proteins to prediction of *Virus* proteins.
- This book systematically introduces three machine-learning approaches to improving predictors' performance, including classification refinement, deeper feature extraction and dimensionality reduction.
- 4. This book not only proposes several advanced and accurate single- and multilabel predictors but also introduces their easy-to-use online web-servers.

This book is organized into four related parts:

- Part I Chapters 1, 2, and 3 introduces the significance of computationally predicting protein subcellular localization, provides an overview of state-of-the-art approaches, and details the legitimacy of using gene ontology (GO) information for predicting subcellular localization of proteins.
- 2. Part II Chapters 4, 5, 6, and 7 proposes several state-of-the-art predictors for single- and multi-location protein subcellular localization. In Chapter 4, two predictors, namely GOASVM and FusionSVM, both based on GO information, are proposed for single-location protein subcellular localization. Subsequently, multi-location protein subcellular localization is described in Chapter 5. In this chapter, several multi-label predictors, including mGOASVM, AD-SVM, and mPLR-Loc,







which were developed based on different classifiers, are introduced for accurate prediction of subcellular localization of both single- and multi-location proteins. Next, Chapter 6 presents the predictors, namely SS-Loc and HybridGO-Loc, which exploit the deep information embedded in the hierarchical structure of the GO Database. These predictors incorporate the information of semantic similarity over GO terms. For large-scale protein subcellular localization, Chapter 7 introduces ensemble random projection to construct two dimension-reduced multi–label predictors, namely RP-SVM and R3P-Loc. In addition, two compact databases (ProSeq and ProSeq-GO) are proposed to replace the conventional databases (Swiss-Prot and GOA) for fast and efficient feature extraction.

- 3. Part III Chapters 8, 9, and 10 presents the experimental setup and results for all of the proposed predictors and further discusses the properties of the proposed predictors. Chapter 8 details the specific experimental setup, including datasets construction and performance metrics. Extensive experimental results and analyses for all the proposed predictors are detailed in Chapter 9. Further discussions are provided in Chapter 10.
- 4. Part IV Chapter 11 gives a conclusion and possible future directions for further research in this field.

It is confidently believed that this book will provide bioinformaticians and computational biologists with the latest state-of-the-art machine-learning approaches for protein subcellular localization prediction and will enlighten them with a systematic scheme to improve predictors' performance. For wet-lab biologists, this book offers accurate and fast subcellular-localization predictors and easy-to-use online web-servers.

Acknowledgement: This book is an outgrowth of four years of research on the topics of bioinformatics and machine learning. First, the authors would like to express their sincere gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Sun-Yuan Kung from Princeton University, whose insightful comments and invaluable suggestions have facilitated the research.

The authors are also indebted to Prof. Yue Wang from Virginia Tech (VT), USA, and Dr. Zhen Zhang and Dr. Bai Zhang from Johns Hopkins University (JHU), USA. Our gratitude also goes to all of the CBIL members of VT and collaborators at JHU. Deep thanks should also go to Prof. Hong Yan from City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, and Dr. Haiying Wang from the University of Ulster, UK. Their critical and constructive suggestions were imperative for the accomplishment of the book.

We are also grateful to senior editorial director Mr. Alexander Greene, project editor Ms. Julia Lauterbach, and project editor Ms. Lara Wysong of the De Gruyter publisher, who have provided professional assistance throughout the project.

Both authors are with the Center for Multimedia Signal Processing, Department of Electronic and Information Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China. The authors are grateful to the university and the department for their generous and consistent support.







We are pleased to acknowledge that the work presented in this book was in part supported by The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (Grant No. G-YJ86, G-YL78, and G-YN18) and the Research Grant Council of Hong Kong SAR (Grant No. PolyU5264/09E and PolyU 152117/14E).

The authors would also like to thank many collaborators and colleagues, including Wei Wang, Jian Guo, and others.

Particularly, Shibiao Wan would like to give special thanks to his partner Jieqiong Wang for her unreserved love and support. Last but not the least, the authors wish to give their deepest gratitude to their families. Without their generous support and full understanding, this book would not have been so smoothly completed.

Dr. Shibiao Wan is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow of the Department of Electronic and Information Engineering at the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. He obtained his BEng degree in telecommunication engineering from Wuhan University, China in 2010, and his PhD degree in bioinformatics from the Hong Kong Polytechnic University in 2014. He was a visiting scholar in the Virginia Tech and the Johns Hopkins School of Medicine from Spring 2013 to Summer 2013. His current research interests include bioinformatics, computational biology, and machine learning. He has published a number of technical articles on top bioinformatics journals such as *BMC Bioinformatics*, *PLoS ONE, Journal of Theoretical Biology*, etc, and key international conferences on signal processing, bioinformatics, and machine learning such as ICASSP, BIBM, MLSP, etc. He serves as a reviewer for a number of journals, such as *IEEE Trans. on Nanobioscience*, *AMC*, *JAM*, *IJBI*, and *IJMLC*.

Dr. Man-Wai Mak is an Associate Professor of the Department of Electronic and Information Engineering at the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. He has authored more than 150 technical articles in speaker recognition, machine learning, and bioinformatics. Dr. Mak is also a coauthor of the postgraduate textbook *Biometric Authentication: A Machine Learning Approach* (Prentice Hall, 2005). He served as a member of the IEEE Machine Learning for Signal Processing Technical Committee from 2005–2007. He has been serving as an associate editor of *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech and Language Processing, Journal of Signal Processing Systems*, and *Advances in Artificial Neural Systems*. He has been a technical committee member of a number of international conferences, such as Interspeech, ISCSLP, and IEEE Workshop on MLSP.













Contents

Preface — v

List of Abbreviations ---- xv

1 1.1 1.2 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.3	Introduction — 1 Proteins and their subcellular locations — 1 Why computationally predict protein subcellular localization? — 3 Significance of the subcellular localization of proteins — 3 Conventional wet-lab techniques — 3 Computational prediction of protein subcellular localization — 4 Organization of this book — 5
2	Overview of subcellular localization prediction —— 7
2.1	Sequence-based methods — 7
2.1.1	Composition-based methods — 7
2.1.2	Sorting signal-based methods —— 14
2.1.3	Homology-based methods —— 17
2.2	Knowledge-based methods —— 21
2.2.1	GO-term extraction —— 22
2.2.2	GO-vector construction —— 24
2.3	Limitations of existing methods —— 26
2.3.1	Limitations of sequence-based methods —— 26
2.3.2	Limitations of knowledge-based methods —— 27
3	Legitimacy of using gene ontology information —— 28
3.1	Direct table lookup? —— 29
3.1.1	Table lookup procedure for single-label prediction —— 30
3.1.2	Table-lookup procedure for multi-label prediction —— 32
3.1.3	Problems of table lookup —— 33
3.2	Using only cellular component GO terms? —— 34
3.3	Equivalent to homologous transfer? —— 34
3.4	More reasons for using GO information —— 35
4	Single-location protein subcellular localization —— 37
4.1	Extracting GO from the Gene Ontology Annotation Database —— 37
4.1.1	Gene Ontology Annotation Database —— 37
4.1.2	Retrieval of GO terms —— 40
4.1.3	Construction of GO vectors —— 41
4.1.4	Multiclass SVM classification —— 43







x —	Contents
4.2	FusionSVM: Fusion of gene ontology and homology-based features —— 45
4.2.1	InterProGOSVM: Extracting GO from InterProScan —— 45
4.2.2	PairProSVM: A homology-based method —— 47
4.2.3	Fusion of InterProGOSVM and PairProSVM —— 48
4.3	Summary —— 49
5	From single- to multi-location —— 51
5.1	Significance of multi-location proteins —— 51
5.2	Multi-label classification —— 51
5.2.1	Algorithm-adaptation methods —— 52
5.2.2	Problem transformation methods —— 52
5.2.3	Multi-label classification in bioinformatics —— 54
5.3	mGOASVM: A predictor for both single- and multi-location
	proteins —— 54
5.3.1	Feature extraction —— 55
5.3.2	Multi-label multiclass SVM classification —— 56
5.4	AD-SVM: An adaptive decision multi-label predictor —— 58
5.4.1	Multi-label SVM scoring —— 59
5.4.2	Adaptive decision for SVM (AD-SVM) —— 59
5.4.3	Analysis of AD-SVM —— 60
5.5	mPLR-Loc: A multi-label predictor based on penalized logistic
	regression —— 63
5.5.1	Single-label penalized logistic regression —— 64
5.5.2	Multi-label penalized logistic regression —— 65
5.5.3	Adaptive decision for LR (mPLR-Loc) —— 65
5.6	Summary —— 66
6	Mining deeper on GO for protein subcellular localization —— 67
6.1	Related work —— 67
6.2	SS-Loc: Using semantic similarity over GO —— 69
6.2.1	Semantic similarity measures —— 70
6.2.2	SS vector construction —— 71
6.3	HybridGO-Loc: Hybridizing GO frequency and semantic similarity
	features —— 72
6.3.1	Hybridization of two GO features —— 73
6.3.2	Multi-label multiclass SVM classification —— 73
6.4	Summary —— 75
7	Ensemble random projection for large-scale predictions —— 77



7.1



Random projection —— 77



	RP-SVM: A multi-label classifier with ensemble random
	projection —— 79
7.2.1	Ensemble multi-label classifier —— 80
7.2.2	Multi-label classification —— 80
7.3	R3P-Loc: A compact predictor based on ridge regression and ensemble
	random projection —— 82
7.3.1	Limitation of using current databases —— 82
7.3.2	Creating compact databases —— 84
7.3.3	Single-label ridge regression —— 85
7.3.4	Multi-label ridge regression —— 86
7.4	Summary —— 88
_	
8	Experimental setup — 89
8.1	Prediction of single-label proteins —— 89
8.1.1	Datasets construction —— 89
8.1.2	Performance metrics —— 93
8.2	Prediction of multi-label proteins —— 94
8.2.1	Dataset construction —— 94
8.2.2	Datasets analysis —— 97
8.2.3	Performance metrics —— 100
8.3	Statistical evaluation methods —— 103
8.4	Summary —— 104
9	Results and analysis —— 105
9.1	Performance of GOASVM —— 105
9.1.1	Comparing GO vector construction methods —— 105
9.1.1	Comparing GO vector construction methods —— 105 Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106
9.1.1 9.1.2	Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106
9.1.1	Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106 Comparing with methods based on other features —— 108
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4	Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106 Comparing with methods based on other features —— 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods —— 109
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3	Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106 Comparing with methods based on other features —— 108
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5	Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106 Comparing with methods based on other features —— 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods —— 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases —— 110 Performance of FusionSVM —— 111
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2	Performance of successive-search strategy —— 106 Comparing with methods based on other features —— 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods —— 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases —— 110
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4 9.3	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113 Effect of the fusion weights on the performance of FusionSVM — 114 Performance of mGOASVM — 115
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4 9.3 9.3.1	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113 Effect of the fusion weights on the performance of FusionSVM — 114 Performance of mGOASVM — 115 Kernel selection and optimization — 115
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4 9.3 9.3.1 9.3.2	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113 Effect of the fusion weights on the performance of FusionSVM — 114 Performance of mGOASVM — 115 Kernel selection and optimization — 115 Term-frequency for mGOASVM — 115
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4 9.3 9.3.1 9.3.2 9.3.3	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113 Effect of the fusion weights on the performance of FusionSVM — 114 Performance of mGOASVM — 115 Kernel selection and optimization — 115 Term-frequency for mGOASVM — 115 Multi-label properties for mGOASVM — 117
9.1.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.4 9.1.5 9.2 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4 9.3 9.3.1 9.3.2	Performance of successive-search strategy — 106 Comparing with methods based on other features — 108 Comparing with state-of-the-art GO methods — 109 GOASVM using old GOA databases — 110 Performance of FusionSVM — 111 Comparing GO vector construction and normalization methods — 111 Performance of PairProSVM — 112 Performance of FusionSVM — 113 Effect of the fusion weights on the performance of FusionSVM — 114 Performance of mGOASVM — 115 Kernel selection and optimization — 115 Term-frequency for mGOASVM — 115





xii —	- Contents
0.5	Performance of mPLR-Loc —— 122
9.5	
9.5.1	Effect of adaptive decisions on mPLR-Loc —— 122
9.5.2	Effect of regularization on mPLR-Loc —— 124
9.6	Performance of HybridGO-Loc —— 125
9.6.1	Comparing different features —— 125
9.7	Performance of RP-SVM —— 127
9.7.1	Performance of ensemble random projection —— 127
9.7.2	Comparison with other dimension-reduction methods —— 127
9.7.3	Performance of single random-projection —— 130
9.7.4	Effect of dimensions and ensemble size —— 130
9.8	Performance of R3P-Loc —— 130
9.8.1	Performance on the compact databases —— 130
9.8.2	Effect of dimensions and ensemble size —— 134
9.8.3	Performance of ensemble random projection —— 137
9.9	Comprehensive comparison of proposed predictors —— 137
9.9.1	Comparison of benchmark datasets —— 137
9.9.2	Comparison of novel datasets —— 140
9.10	Summary —— 143
10	Properties of the proposed predictors —— 145
10.1	Noise data in the GOA Database —— 145
10.2	Analysis of single-label predictors —— 146
10.2.1	GOASVM vs FusionSVM —— 146
10.2.2	Can GOASVM be combined with PairProSVM? —— 147
10.3	Advantages of mGOASVM —— 148
10.3.1	GO-vector construction — 148
10.3.2	GO subspace selection —— 148
10.3.3	Capability of handling multi-label problems —— 149
10.4	Analysis for HybridGO-Loc —— 149
10.4.1	Semantic similarity measures —— 149
10.4.2	GO-frequency features vs SS features —— 150
10.4.3	Bias analysis —— 150
10.5	Analysis for RP-SVM —— 151
10.5.1	Legitimacy of using RP —— 151
10.5.2	Ensemble random projection for robust performance —— 152
10.6	Comparing the proposed multi-label predictors —— 153
10.7	Summary —— 154
_ • • • •	
11	Conclusions and future directions —— 157
11.1	Conclusions —— 157





Future directions —— 158

11.2



Webservers for protein subcellular localization —— 161		
GOASVM webserver —— 161		
mGOASVM webserver —— 162		
HybridGO-Loc webserver —— 163		
mPLR-Loc webserver —— 164		
Support vector machines —— 167		
Binary SVM classification —— 167		
One-vs-rest SVM classification —— 170		
Proof of no bias in LOOCV —— 173		
Derivatives for penalized logistic regression —— 174		
Bibliography —— 177		



Index —— 191









List of Abbreviations

AA amino-acid compositions

AC accession number ACC overall accuracy

ACR acrosome

AD adaptive decision

BLAST basic local alignment search tool

BR binary relevance

c-region C-terminal flanking region

CEL cell wall
CEN centrosome
CHL chloroplast
CM cell membrane

cTP chloroplast transit peptide

CYA cyanelle
CYK cytoskeleton
CYT cytoplasm
DLS distinct label set

EBI European Bioinformatics Institute
ECC ensembles of classifier chains

END endosome

ER endoplasmic reticulum

EU16 the 16-class eukaryotic dataset EXP inferred from experiment

EXT extracellular F1 F1-score

GapAA gapped amino-acid pair compositions

GO gene ontology

GOA gene ontology annotation database

GOL Golgi apparatus

h-region central hydrophobic region

HCYT host cytoplasm

HER host endoplasmic reticulum

HL Hamming loss

HMMs hidden Markov models

HNUC host nucleus

HUM12 the 12-class human dataset

HYD hydrogenosome

IDA inferred from direct assay

IEA inferred from electronic annotation







xvi — List of Abbreviations

IMP inferred from mutant phenotype
IPI inferred from physical interaction
ISF inverse sequence-frequency

ISS inferred from structural and sequence similarity

LC label cardinality

LCA lowest common ancestors

LD label density

LOOCV leave-one-out cross validation

LP label powerset LR logistic regression

LYS lysosome

MCC Mathew's correlation coefficient

MEL melanosome MIC microsome MIT mitochondrion

mTP mitochondrial targeting peptide n-region N-terminal flanking region

NE16 the 16-class novel eukaryotic dataset

NNs neural networks

NUC nucleus

OAA overall actual accuracy

OE11 the 11-class old eukaryotic dataset

OET-KNN optimized evidence-theoretic K-nearest neighbors

OLA overall locative accuracy
OLS ordinary least squares

OMCC overall Mathew's correlation coefficient

PairAA amino-acid pair compositions PDLS proportion of distinct label set

PER peroxisome PLA plastid

PM plasma membrane

PseAA pseudo amino-acid compositions
PSFM position-specific frequency matrix
PSI-BLAST position-specific iterative BLAST
PSSM position-specific scoring matrix

RP random projection
RR ridge regression
RS relevance similarity

SEC secreted
SP signal peptide
SPI spindle pole body
SS semantic similarity







SVMs support vector machines

SYN synapse

TF term-frequency

TF-ISF term-frequency–inverse sequence-frequency

TLN total locative number

VAC vacuole VC viral capsid

WAMCC weighted average Mathew's correlation coefficient



